Sonography Education: Incidental Findings in Scan Lab Models



SOCIETY OF DIAGNOSTIC MEDICAL SONOGRAPHY

Kim Michael, MA, RT(R), RDMS, RVT, FSDMS Cathie Rienzo, MS, RT(R)(ARRT), RDMS, FSDMS Ted Whitten, BA, RDMS, RVT, FSDMS

WHITE PAPER SERIES

Disclaimer: This informational resource is provided by the Society of Diagnostic Medical Sonography (SDMS) to facilitate discussion of complex issues affecting the diagnostic medical sonography profession. It is meant to help readers understand an issue, solve a problem, or make a decision. The information presented does not represent SDMS policy and should not be considered medical or legal advice. The reader should always consult a physician (for medical advice) or an attorney (for legal advice) licensed in their state to discuss their specific facts and circumstances before relying on the information provided in this document.

Comments/Suggestions: The SDMS is committed to providing informational resources like this white paper to its members. If you have comments or suggestions for this or other informational white papers, please contact the SDMS at: executive@sdms.org

Discussions: SDMS Members are encouraged to utilize the SDMS Collaborate Community, https://collaborate.sdms.org, to discuss the issues addressed in this and other SDMS white papers.

Acknowledgements: The SDMS would like to acknowledge and thank Anna Burk, BS, RT(R), RDMS and Hannah Hammond, BS, RT(R), RDMS for their contributions to this white paper.

© Copyright 2021. Society of Diagnostic Medical Sonography, Plano, Texas. All Rights Reserved.

INTRODUCTION

Diagnostic medical sonography educational programs ("sonography program[s]") commonly use student volunteers, outside volunteers, and standardized patients ("model[s]") during hands-on sonography training sessions ("scan lab[s]") to practice sonographic procedures and techniques. This approach provides sonography students with the opportunity to practice on and interact with a variety of simulated patients as well as perfect their clinical scanning skills in a semi-structured educational environment. Utilizing student volunteers and outside volunteers may also reduce the expense associated with hiring trained, standardized patients and purchasing simulation phantoms.

Sonography Program Scan Labs

- **1.** Provide scheduled and required experiences;
- 2. Provide student scanning exercises in a controlled laboratory setting;
- **3.** May occur in a classroom setting or in a clinical setting;
- May utilize paid or volunteer models, such as other students;
- 5. Should occur under the personal supervision of qualified and appropriately credentialed faculty;



Figure 1. Sonography Program Scan Labs

- **6.** Should occur in accordance with written policies, procedures, and disclosures to ensure that each model understands the purpose of the scan lab is educational, and not for diagnostic purposes;
- **7.** Should occur in accordance with all applicable infection control and disinfection standards; and
- **8.** Should not take the place of clinical education in a hospital or office setting on actual patients but can enhance scanning experience in certain limited settings.

Adapted from: Policies & Procedures, *Joint Review Committee on Education in Diagnostic Medical Sonography*, May 2018, available at: http://www.jrcdms.org/policies.htm. Accessed August 26, 2018.



Sonography Educational Program Accreditation

While this white paper discusses one important aspect of the operation of sonography educational programs, such programs are advised to refer to the current Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs' (CAAHEP) Standards and Guidelines and the applicable Joint Review Committee/Committee on Accreditation's policies and procedures. Questions about their requirements should be directed to CAAHEP or the applicable Joint Review Committee on Accreditation.

- CAAHEP Standards and Guidelines https://www.caahep.org/Program-Directors/Standards.aspx
 - Committee on Accreditation for Advanced Cardiovascular Sonography (COA-ACS) https://www.caahep.org/About-CAAHEP/Committees-on-Accreditation/Advanced-Cardiovascular-Sonography.aspx
 - Joint Review Committee on Education in Cardiovascular Technology (JRC-CVT) http://jrccvt.org/policies%20&%20procedures.htm
 - Joint Review Committee on Education in Diagnostic Medical Sonography (JRC-DMS) http://www.jrcdms.org/policies.htm

Sonography students, particularly incoming students, receive benefits from volunteering as a model such as:

- 1. Exposure to the scan lab setting;
- 2. Introduction to sonographic principles;
- **3.** Observation of the sonography student learning process and faculty teaching methodology; and
- 4. Introduction to sonographic terminology and imaging.^{7,9}

With an educational scan lab, there is the possibility of uncovering an unexpected or "incidental finding".¹⁻⁸ An incidental finding is the identification of a sonographic finding or medical condition that was previously unknown to the model. Incidental findings can range from normal anatomical or physiologic variation (e.g., functional cardiac murmur, etc.) or other minor findings to possible clinically significant or lifethreatening pathologies (e.g., ventral septal defect, vascular occlusion, suspected malignancy. etc.).²

The published literature on incidental findings primarily relates to clinical skills training in medical students. Pols et al, estimated the incidence of an incidental finding in medical students during practical or clinical skills training activities to be 1.5%.² Other studies

INCIDENTAL FINDINGS RANGE



Figure 2. Incidental findings can range from minor to possibly life-threatening.

found a high likelihood of unexpected findings during medical school training sessions.⁴ Mills et al reported the rate to be up to 9.1%.¹¹



The literature does not currently report the number of incidental findings discovered during sonography program scan labs. However, anecdotal information may suggest the number of incidental findings is rising. Possible reasons may include:

- Instructors teaching more scanning skills in the scan lab setting to help ensure students have fully developed the necessary skills and techniques for the clinical setting; and
- An increase in the number of sonography programs and number of students enrolled.

The discovery of an incidental finding has the potential to impact not only the model, but also the student performing the sonographic procedure, the scan lab instructor, other students in the scan lab, and the sonography program.¹⁻⁸ Because a number of legal and ethical issues can arise from the discovery and handling of an incidental finding during a simulated patient experience, the sonography program's written incidental finding policy and procedures, as well as model disclosure and HIPAA authorization forms, can help the sonography program deal with incidental finding appropriately and consistently.

Today, sonography programs may or may not have policies and procedures in place that address handling an incidental finding in the scan lab setting. The Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs (CAAHEP), which accredits diagnostic medical sonography, cardiovascular technology, and advanced cardiovascular sonography educational programs in the United States, does not have a specific accreditation standard regarding the handling of incidental findings in the scan lab or clinical training environments.¹⁰

The sonography program's written policy and procedures should guide the response when a student or instructor discovers an incidental finding in a scan lab model. At least annually (or whenever updated), the sonography program should require all models (including student volunteers) to complete and sign the sonography program's written consent, HIPAA authorization, and medical history forms. Since student volunteers and other models may not expect or recognize that the risk for incidental findings exist, the sonography program should also provide models with the sonography program's written incidental finding policy and procedures and give them the opportunity to ask questions about these documents.¹⁻⁸ The sonography program should encourage models with questions about personal or confidential matters to meet with the program director or scan lab instructor privately (ideally before the scheduled scan lab).

Students and Incidental Findings in a Clinical Setting

If an incidental finding is discovered by a sonography student while scanning a patient at a sonography program's clinical site (e.g., hospital, clinic, etc.), the student should discuss the possible incidental finding with their clinical site's clinical instructor or supervising sonographer (outside the patient's presence, if possible) and defer to the clinical site's staff and policies and procedures to handle the possible incidental finding.



The following table describes the various documents and forms that a scan lab may use. Although listed separately here, the sonography program could combine the forms into one or more forms.

SCAN LAB RESOURCES	
DOCUMENT & FORMS FOR SCAN LAB MODELS	DESCRIPTION
Incidental Finding Policy and Procedures	Describes the sonography program's policy and procedures for handling incidental findings discovered during a scan lab.
Consent Form	Provides consent for the scan lab instructor, students, etc. to perform the listed sonography procedures on the scan lab model.
HIPAA Authorization Form	Authorizes the sonography program's release of the model's medical information to scan lab students. It should also provide explicit authorization for the use of de-identified scan lab images for education, research, etc. and should authorize release of medical information to emergency medical personnel and medical facilities, if necessary.
Medical History Disclosure Form	Provides the sonography program scan lab with the model's pertinent past medical history. Having this information available helps support development of the sonography students' skill in obtaining and reviewing the patient's past medical history.
Incidental Finding Referral Form	Provides the model's personal physician with information about the sonography program's scan lab and the incidental finding(s) discovered.

Figure 3. Scan Lab Resources

STRATEGIES FOR DEVELOPMENT OF AN EFFECTIVE INCIDENTAL FINDING POLICY & PROCEDURES

Although the discovery of an incidental finding during the sonography scan lab environment could be life-altering or even life-saving, legal or ethical issues could also arise from incidental findings. If a student or scan lab instructor provides the model with what appears to be a medical diagnosis or inappropriately discloses the model's personal health information, the sonography program may be in violation of state or federal laws and regulations. Making a diagnosis or disclosure of incorrect information could also result in unnecessary anxiety and unnecessary care or medical costs for the model.

To help avoid issues resulting from the discovery of an incidental finding, a sonography program should have a written policy and procedures in place before they need them. For the policy and



procedures to be most effective, the sonography program should strive to involve key personnel in their development and implementation. Key personnel may include, but is not limited to the:

- Program director;
- Program medical advisor or director ("medical advisor");
- Program faculty, including the scan lab instructor(s), clinical coordinator(s) or instructor(s), etc.;
- Risk management staff; and
- Legal counsel.

It is important to remember that sonography students (and sonographers) cannot diagnose in either a clinical or an academic environment. Everyone involved with the sonography program, including all models, should understand that only a qualified physician can make a medical diagnosis, including when an exam during a scan lab uncovers an incidental finding. If available, the sonography program's medical advisor could speak with the model about the incidental finding. However, in many cases, the scan lab instructor or program director is the one who will disclose the incidental finding to the model.

"During the scan lab, the sonographic examination appeared to be different than expected. I cannot be sure if it is significant or not. You should consult your personal physician or other healthcare provider (e.g., physician's assistant, nurse practitioner, etc.) and tell them you were participating as a model in a nondiagnostic sonography scan lab and the sonographic examination appeared to be different than expected. Your personal physician will decide whether you should have a diagnostic sonographic examination performed. If you don't have a personal physician, I can provide



Figure 4. Upon discovery of an incidental finding, the medical advisor, scan lab instructor or program director should disclose the incidental finding to the model.

you with a list of physicians in the area who you may wish to contact for follow-up. If you are a student, you may wish to contact your school's student health services."



The sonography program should review the written incidental finding policy and procedures periodically (e.g., annually) and obtain the feedback and approval of the sonography program's key personnel. The sonography program's faculty, administrative staff, clinical coordinator(s), or instructor(s) should fully understand the incidental finding policy, how to respond to questions about the policy, and the procedures to follow if they or a student discovers an incidental finding during a preliminary scan ("pre-scan[s]") or a scan lab.

The policy and procedures should address handling a range of possible incidental findings – from normal anatomical or physiologic variations or other minor findings to possible clinically significant or life-threatening conditions. There is no "bright line" or "easy button" as every incidental finding situation will be somewhat different, and no policy can specifically address the entire range of possible incidental findings. If a student or instructor discovers a possible clinically significant or life-threatening condition in the scan lab setting, it is generally better to err on the side of caution and to ensure the model understands the scope of the incidental finding and has access to any needed medical care.

Some clinical findings in models will provide students with a unique opportunity to identify and differentiate between normal, normal variation, and abnormal anatomy and physiology. The lab instructor must carefully weigh the model's privacy and the value of a teachable moment in the scan lab setting. If the scan lab instructor has conducted a pre-scan and discussed the pertinent findings with the model in private, the model can make an informed decision in advance of the scan lab about whether they want to serve as a model and if so, what information they are comfortable with the instructor sharing with the students. Seeing an incidental finding in scan labs may also provide students with vital experience since they will most likely encounter incidental findings in the clinical setting.

The sonography program's policy and procedures should identify how, when, and whom reveals incidental findings to the model and to the participants in the scan lab. Ideally, the lab instructor will have completed a pre-scan and the model will have authorized the sharing of information with students and faculty. If the lab instructor has not completed a pre-scan, including all body organs or areas to be covered in the scan lab, or a student discovers a new incidental finding during the scan lab, the lab instructor could:

- 1. Pull the model aside and share information about the incidental finding;
- 2. Switch models without drawing attention; or
- **3.** Take a break and discuss the incidental finding with the model.

Whenever possible, the lab instructor should avoid last minute or spur-of-the moment changes in models since doing so could make it difficult to conduct a pre-scan and as a result may significantly complicate the scan lab if a student uncovers an incidental finding.

Due to the nature of the educational setting, it is often difficult to maintain a model's privacy during a scan lab. Students and instructors will discuss the model's medical history, anatomy, or physiology, as well as sonographic technique, sonographic images, etc. during the scan lab. Additionally, other models in the scan lab may overhear these discussions. Lab instructors should require and maintain a professional atmosphere in the scan lab. They should stress to student sonographers that the scan lab is not a casual environment and should be treated as a simulated real-life experience (i.e., a sonographer would not blurt out what they see while scanning a patient in a clinical setting and they should not do so in the scan lab). Lab instructors should also remind students that information about any model regardless of the source (i.e., provided by the model, obtained by a lab instructor or



student, or discussed during the scan lab) is strictly confidential and should not be discussed outside of the scan lab or classroom setting. Discussions outside of the scan lab setting could be particularly problematic if the model is also a student in the sonography program.

CONSENT FORM CONSIDERATIONS

From a medico-legal perspective, a consent form used in a clinical setting discloses information to a patient about the applicable benefits, risks, and alternatives for a diagnostic test or a medical procedure or treatment. The provision of this information and the person's understanding of this information form the basis for the patient's "informed consent." It also documents the person's authorization to perform the specific diagnostic test, medical procedure or treatment, or to share patient information (e.g., with family members, personal physicians, other healthcare providers, insurance companies, etc.).

While a sonography program's scan lab does not set forth the expectation that it is for diagnostic purposes and no medical treatment is expected to result, it is still important that the model understand what to expect during the sonographic procedure and what will happen if an incidental finding is discovered during the scan lab. The sonography program's consent form for models can help answer many questions and address issues related to incidental findings before they arise.

A sonography program's staff (e.g., program director) typically develop the consent form for models and the sonography program's medical advisor, risk management staff, legal counsel, etc. should approve it. The sonography program staff should review the model's completed consent form with each model and answer any questions. The sonography program should store the signed and dated consent form as it would store any other documents containing confidential information (i.e., with access restricted to only those staff who need access to the consent form). Ideally, a model would sign a new consent form on an annual basis and provide in writing a revocation of consent to serve as a model. However, if a model only provides verbal revocation, the sonography program staff should document the verbal revocation in writing.

DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF AN INCIDENTAL FINDINGS POLICY & PROCEDURES AND RELATED FORMS

While the actual content and format of a sonography program's incidental findings policy and procedures and related forms will vary, sonography programs should consider the following elements:

- Disclose the non-medical purpose of the sonographic procedure(s).
- Explain the prudent use of ultrasound and the <u>As Low As Reasonably Achievable (ALARA)</u> principle.
- List the minimum age required for participation as a model.
- Explain that participation is voluntary, and the model can stop at any time.



- Request that the model disclose past pertinent medical history including known pathologies, past imaging studies, etc.
- Obtain the name, address, and telephone number for the model's personal physician (if applicable).
- Obtain written consent for the performance of sonography procedure(s).
- Document and store each model's written consent or revocation of consent.
- Obtain a signed Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") authorization form.
- Perform a pre-scan on the model (whenever feasible).
- Identify the body organs/areas, the sonographic procedures the scan lab instructor and students will perform, and the estimated amount of time the scan lab will take.
- List obstetrical scan lab requirements and restrictions (e.g., prior medical sonogram, no first trimester models, no more than one scan lab per month, no color doppler, etc.).
- Provide the incidental findings policy and procedures and all related forms to models, students, and staff.
- Ensure the scan lab is appropriately supervised when models (including students) are scanned.
- Provide procedures or a referral form for the referral of a model with a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding to their personal physician (or emergency personnel, if applicable).
- List who the sonography program should notify for each type of incidental finding (i.e., normal variation versus possible clinically significant or life-threatening).
- Describe how to handle emergency (life-threatening) situations that may arise in a scan lab setting.
- List the contact person(s) (e.g., Program Director) including contact information for questions about incidental findings policy and procedures or related forms.

NOTE: Sonography programs may include some of the elements listed above in both the incidental findings policy and procedures and related forms for models. See below for additional discussion of each element.

Non-Medical Purpose: The consent form for models should disclose that all sonographic procedure(s) performed in the scan lab are for educational training purposes only. It should also disclose that the resulting sonographic images are not diagnostic, no physician will interpret them, and they will not be part of a medical record.

Prudent Use of Ultrasound: The consent form for models and the sonography program's policies and procedures should educate the model regarding the prudent and conservative use of ultrasound and the ALARA principle (e.g., the AIUM's Official Statement on *Safety in Training & Research*, http://www.aium.org/officialStatements/36). The scan lab instructor should ensure that all sonographic procedures performed in the scan lab follow the ALARA principle. The sonography program may



set limits on the frequency that a model may volunteer (e.g., once per month), and the number and length of sonographic procedures that students and the scan lab instructor may perform, particularly with pregnant models.

Legal Age: Generally, the model must be able to legally sign the consent form and provide informed consent for the sonographic procedure(s) that the students and scan lab instructor will perform in the scan lab setting (e.g., 18 years of age or older, or a legally emancipated minor in the state). While less common, if the students or scan lab instructor is performing a sonographic procedure on a minor in a scan lab setting (e.g., in a pediatric sonography program), the minor's parent or legal guardian must sign the consent form to provide informed consent for the scan lab sonography procedure(s) on the minor. The sonography program should consider whether different scan lab requirements and limitations (e.g., length of scans, scan labs per month, etc.) should apply to pediatric models.

Voluntary Participation: At any time, a model must have the right to decline or otherwise withdraw consent for the sonographic procedure or discontinue being a model for the sonography program. If the model is also a sonography student, participation as a model in a scan lab must be optional and there must not be any kind of retaliatory action or pressure on the student (e.g., lowering of grade, discipline, bias, "shaming" or "bullying," peer pressure, etc.) for opting out of being a volunteer scan lab model.

Disclosure of Medical History Including Known Pathologies: Models should disclose any previously diagnosed pathology in the organ(s) or body area(s) to be scanned (if known).⁴ Models should also disclose pertinent medical conditions, surgeries, treatments, open wounds, or active infections in the area to be scanned (e.g., staph infection, etc.). To protect the students and faculty, disclosure of certain existing pathologies (e.g., open wound or staph infection, etc.) may disqualify a model from participating. The disclosure should ask the model to designate a personal physician (e.g., primary care physician, obstetrician, etc.) that the sonography program will notify if the students or scan lab instructor discover a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding(s) during a pre-scan or a scan lab.

Referral to Model's Personal Physician: If a pre-scan or scan lab identifies a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding, the sonography program should refer the model to their personal physician, or in some cases, for emergency care. The sonography program should have a HIPAA release authorization from each model <u>before</u> a pre-scan or scan lab.

Written and Verbal Consent: The scan lab instructor should review the consent form with each model and answer any questions related to the form or the scan lab process.^{1,4-6,8} Ideally, students in the scan lab should communicate with the model as they would communicate with a real patient in a healthcare setting, including but not limited to, obtaining consent, explaining the procedure to be performed, and answering any questions.

Documentation of a Model's Consent: The scan lab instructor or other designee should ensure the model has fully completed and signed the consent form before sonographic procedures begin on the model. The sonography program should securely store the consent forms in accordance with the sonography program's written document retention policy. The model should update their written consent if the organ(s), body area(s), or sonographic procedures are modified after the model originally signed the consent form.



HIPAA Release: The sonography program should use the consent form for models, or a separate HIPAA release, to provide authorization to the sonography program to share relevant information about the model's pre-existing medical conditions, sonographic images obtained during a prescan or scan lab, or incidental findings as necessary for training, medical, educational, or research purposes. This may include class discussions of images obtained in the scan lab, as well as sharing the information with the sonography program's medical advisor, program director, or other designee, as needed (in accordance with state and federal privacy statutes and regulations). It should also authorize sharing of this information with emergency personnel or facilities if students or the scan lab instructor discover a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding during a prescan or scan lab.

Preliminary Scan: When feasible, the scan lab instructor or a designee should perform the planned sonographic procedure(s) on the model's organ(s) or body area(s) prior to the start of the scan lab session. This cautionary measure can help ensure that the instructor identifies incidental findings in a private setting before the scan lab begins and allows the instructor to modify the planned scan lab, if necessary. The importance of this step cannot be over-stated as it may help avoid the surprise of an incidental finding during the scan lab.

Organs, Body Areas, and Sonographic Procedures: As a part of informed consent, the model should know the organ(s), body area(s), and type(s) and length of sonographic procedure(s) that the scan lab instructor and student(s) will perform. If a need arises that requires modification of the planned scan lab, the scan lab instructor should obtain the model's consent before examining another organ or body area or performing a different sonographic procedure. To preserve the safety and dignity of each model, scan labs should not include certain anatomically-sensitive sonographic procedures (e.g., breasts, genitalia, lower extremity above the knee, and the use of intracavitary probes).^{4,7,12}

Obstetrical Scan Labs: All obstetrical scan lab models should be at least 18 weeks pregnant and have had a diagnostic medical sonogram performed with physician interpretation prior to participating in a scan lab. Because of the potential for acoustic output to cause temperature elevation in fetal tissue, scan labs should not include first trimester pregnancies.¹³ Ideally, the consent form for obstetrical models should require the model to specifically consent to a trans-abdominal obstetrical sonogram and affirm they meet the sonography program's requirements for this type of sonographic procedure (i.e., minimum model age, 18+ week pregnancy, and prior diagnostic sonogram) and restrictions (e.g., maximum number of sonograms, frequency serving as model, etc.). Sonography programs should encourage obstetrical models to discuss their potential role as a model with their personal physician and caution them to avoid an excessive number and lengthy sonograms during the pregnancy.

Incidental Findings Policy and Procedures: If a pre-scan by the scan lab instructor is not possible or the pre-scan did not reveal an incidental finding, a student may find an incidental finding while performing a sonographic procedure in the scan lab. Depending on the knowledge of the student, they may or may not recognize the significance of the incidental finding.

If a student discovers a possible clinically significant incidental finding during the scan lab, the instructor should attempt to keep the incidental finding confidential and to discretely change models or temporarily stop the scan lab activity so they can speak with the model in private. The instructor should not point out this type of incidental finding to the rest of the class.⁴ Once aware of the incidental finding, the model can decide whether to continue serving as a model and whether to share the incidental finding with the rest of the class. In rare cases where the scan lab instructor



believes the incidental finding is of an emergent nature (e.g., sonographically appears to be a possible dissecting aortic aneurysm), the scan lab instructor should ensure appropriate medical care is available (e.g., call 911).

Scan Lab Supervision: A sonographer, who is certified and registered in the specialty area of the sonography program concentration being taught or the procedure being performed, should act as the scan lab instructor and directly supervise all sonographic procedures performed on models in the scan lab. A scan lab that uses only phantoms (i.e., no live models) may not require supervision, but the program may need to emphasize to students that they cannot scan each other or external volunteers during what is supposed to be a phantom-only scan lab (see Case Study #5).

Incidental Finding Referral: The sonography program's incidental finding policy and procedures should clearly delineate who is responsible for advising the model to follow up with their personal physician and defer questions about the incidental finding to that person. It may also be helpful to have a list of referral physicians in the event the model does not have a personal physician.^{1-6,9} For a student serving as a model, another option that may be available is a referral for follow up with their "student health" provider. Some may argue that a model with an incidental finding might view a referral to a specific physician specialist (e.g., vascular surgeon) as a diagnosis.⁴ One way to address this concern is to ensure the written policy and procedures are approved by the program's medical advisor and the policy and procedures authorize referral to a physician specialist if the scan lab instructor, program director, or their designee believe (based on their education, training, and experience) the incidental finding relates to a particular speciality area.

Incidental Finding Notification: The sonography program's incidental findings policy and procedures should delineate the notification procedures for the scan lab instructor to follow if an incidental finding is identified. The procedures may be different if the incidental finding is a normal anatomical or physiologic variation versus a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding. The notification procedures should include, but are not limited to, who is to be notified, when they are to be notified, what information is to be conveyed, and any further required or suggested action(s):

Students: If a student identifies a potential incidental finding in a scan lab model, they should notify the scan lab instructor as soon as possible. If necessary, the student should ask to speak privately with the scan lab instructor (away from the model and other students).

Scan Lab Instructor: Instructors should remind students and models prior to the start of the scan lab of the possibility of an incidental finding. The sonography program's policy and procedures should delineate when and how the scan lab instructor is notified about a potential incidental finding (e.g., privately).

Program Director: The scan lab instructor should notify the program director if a possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental finding is discovered during a pre-scan or the scan lab.^{2,3,6} This step helps ensure that all parties follow the policy and procedures and that it provides adequate direction to meet everyone's needs, particularly if the incidental finding is serious in nature.

For a model who is also a student in the program, notification of the program director allows the program director to prepare for additional questions from the student, offer suggestions for follow up, and in severe cases, prepare for the possibility of a medical leave of absence or withdrawal from the sonography program.⁶ If the incidental finding was noted by other



scan lab participants or observers, the program director may need to provide assurance that the appropriate procedures are in place and remind everyone to respect the affected student's privacy. The discovery of an incidental finding may also cause students to question their future participation as a model. Individualized counseling may be necessary if a fellow student discovered the significant pathology.

The program director should also debrief with the scan lab instructor. This allows the instructor to process emotions, which they may have held in check while attending to the model, the student sonographer, and the class. It is also an opportune time to reflect on the scan lab policies and procedures and adjust them as neccessary.^{3,10}

Medical Advisor: The medical advisor's job description and the incidental findings policy and procedures should describe the medical advisor's expected role related to incidental findings. If available, the sonography program's medical advisor may need to discuss a possible clinically significant incidental finding with the model. The medical advisor should also review/approve the sonography program's incidental findings policy and procedures and perform a post-scan lab review of all possibly clinically significant or life-threatening incidental findings. The medical advisor, at their discretion, may wish to write a letter for the model to provide to the model's personal physician.⁹

Model: The literature vacillates on whether the scan lab should give the model the option of deciding if the scan lab instructor should disclose incidental findings to them.^{1,3,4} However, for medical and legal reasons, the sonography student, scan lab instructor, program director, or other sonography program faculty or staff should not provide diagnostic findings or a diagnosis to the model.

If the incidental finding is not a normal anatomical or physiologic variation or a minor incidental finding, the scan lab instructor should inform the model in a private setting that an incidental finding was found during the non-diagnostic sonographic procedure, including a brief description of the incidental finding in neutral terms without attempting to make a diagnosis. When discussing the incidental finding, it is important to remember to treat each situation seriously and respectfully as this news may be confusing or upsetting for the model.^{2,3} Some models may view an incidental finding in a positive light and appreciate knowing and being able to take appropriate action. Others may have a neutral reaction and need time to process the information. Still others may view the information in a negative light due to the added worry, time, and possible expense to their life.² The scan lab instructor should encourage the model to see their personal physician for follow up and possibly obtain a diagnostic sonography examination.

Model's Personal Physician: The scan lab instructor should defer specific questions from the model about the incidental finding to the model's personal physician. It may also be helpful to have a list of referral physicians in the area in case the model does not have a personal physician.^{1-6,9} For models who are college students, a referral for follow up with their "student health" provider may be an option.

Incidental Finding Referral Form: An "Incidental Finding Referral Form" is one mechanism that sonography programs can use to standardize how and what information related to an incidental finding is shared with the model's personal physician. The form should explain that an educational, non-diagnostic sonographic procedure discovered an incidental finding. The referral form should include information about the sonography program, the model's name, the organ(s), body area(s),



sonographic procedure performed, and the date/time it was performed. However, the form should not propose a diagnosis, unless provided and signed by the sonography program's medical advisor or their physician designee. The scan lab instructor should give the referral form to the model and clearly state that it is the model's responsibility to share the referral form with their personal physician. They should also securely store a copy of the referral form in accordance with the sonography program's document retention policy to document the referral.

Many sonography programs do not store the non-diagnostic images generated from a scan lab. However, if the sonography program's policies and procedures include saving and providing any sonographic images from the pre-scan or scan lab to the model's personal physician, the sonography program should clearly mark the images that they are solely for educational, non-diagnostic purposes. In addition, the sonographic images and any related documents should be stored in compliance with the sonography program's policies and procedures.¹

To avoid any confusion, sonography programs may include a note in their forms and policies and procedures clarifying that the sonography program is not responsible or liable for any costs or results (e.g., diagnostic testing, treatment, mental anguish, etc.) associated with a model seeking medical evaluation or care based on the referral.

Emergency Situations: In rare cases, a heightened level of urgency may exist (e.g., the sonographic procedure performed in the scan lab suggests a life-threatening condition, such as a dissecting aortic aneurysm). In these situations, the scan lab instructor or a designee should call an ambulance (e.g., 911), or if already within a hospital, move the model to the emergency department. To address this situation, the consent or HIPAA authorization form should authorize the sonography program medical advisor, faculty, or designee to disclose any applicable medical information held by the sonography program including the incidental finding to emergency personnel or a medical facility, if necessary.

Contact Person: All forms and the sonography program's incidental findings policy and procedures should provide contact information for a primary and secondary contact person (e.g., Program Director, faculty member, etc.) in case a model has questions about the forms or incidental findings policy and procedures. While it is important that the scan lab instructor and other sonography program staff be familiar with the forms and incidental findings policy and procedures, unique situations can and will arise that require additional consideration or decision making. The sonography program's policies and procedure should encourage staff to seek guidance when unique situations arise.

CONCLUSION

Sonography programs use a variety of models in their scan labs to give students the opportunity to practice and hone their skills and techniques. There is always a possibility that a student or scan lab instructor will identify an unexpected or unknown finding in a model. Sonography students and the sonography program models and staff should be aware of this possibility.

Incidental findings in scan lab models may range from normal anatomical or physiologic variation or minor findings to possibly clinically significant or life-threatening pathologies. Standardizing the process that the sonography program uses to handle possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental findings (e.g., provide an "Incidental Finding Referral Form" to the model, notify the



Program's medical advisor, etc.) and ensuring that all involved follow the policy and procedures can help avoid medical, legal, and ethical issues that could arise from the identification of incidental findings in a scan lab setting. The sonography program should proactively involve their medical advisor and other key personnel or departments in the development of the incidental finding policy and procedures, as well as the forms used by the sonography program.

BEST PRACTICES SUMMARY

- 1. Student Model Opt-In/Opt-Out: Sonography programs must ensure voluntary and prudent use of students or other model for non-clinical scanning. Students who serve as a scan lab model must be able to freely "opt-in" or "opt-out" at any time. Students' grades and evaluations must not be affected by participation or non-participation.¹⁰
- 2. Written Consent Form: Sonography programs should require all models, including sonography students, to complete and sign the sonography program's written consent form, at least annually (or when updated).
- **3.** Incidental Finding Policy and Procedures: Sonography programs should provide all models, including sonography students who wish to serve as models, with a copy of the sonography program's written incidental finding policy and procedures and offer them an opportunity to ask questions. Sonography programs should also post the incidental finding policy, procedures, and related forms on the program's website for easy access by models as well as incorporate them into the sonography program's student handbook (or equivalent).
- 4. Perform a Preliminary Scan on Each Model: When feasible, the scan lab instructor or a designee should perform the planned sonographic procedures on the model's organ(s) or body area(s) prior to the start of the scan lab session.
- **5.** Avoid Incidental Finding Diagnosis: Sonography students (and sonographers) cannot diagnose in either a medical or an academic environment, so sonography programs should refer models with possible clinically significant or life-threatening incidental findings to their personal physician.
- 6. Revocation of Consent: When feasible, the sonography program should obtain written revocation of consent to serve as a model. If the model only provides verbal revocation of consent, the sonography program's staff should document the verbal revocation in writing with a notation of the date/time, reason (if stated), etc.
- 7. Security of Medical and Personal Information: The sonography program should ensure that all documents that have a model's personal information, including any medical information, is properly stored in a secure location in accordance with the sonography program's written document retention policy and procedures and state/federal laws or regulations.

CASE STUDIES

Case Study #1

An instructor scheduled a renal scan lab during the first months of a sonography program's curriculum. The scan lab involved each student scanning the right kidney of a model. While a student was scanning through the kidney, the scan lab instructor observed a possible, subtle hyperechoic mass in the model's liver. With only two more students left to scan the model, the instructor continued with the scan lab. At the end of the scan lab, the instructor discretely asked the model



to stay behind. Upon scanning the liver more thoroughly, the instructor found a 2.0 cm hyperechoic mass in the right lobe of the liver. The instructor told the model that they noticed something unusual or unexpected (an incidental finding) and encouraged them to follow up with their personal physician. Later, the model participated in another scan lab activity where they reported that their personal physician had ordered a complete abdominal ultrasound examination and diagnosed them with a hepatic hemangioma.

Discussion: This case study demonstrates how the instructor maintained the confidentiality of the model by not pointing out the possible incidental finding to the class and properly disclosed the finding to the model. If the scan lab instructor had discovered the incidental finding during a prescan, it could have been addressed prior to the scan lab. Now that a physician has diagnosed the model with a hepatic hemangioma, the scan lab instructor could ask the model for their consent to reveal the diagnosis and offer the scan lab students an opportunity to scan the hepatic hemangioma.

Case Study #2

As a visiting instructor, I taught a neonatal spine sonography lecture and scan lab. The scan lab included live scanning on a neonatal scan model who was only weeks old and a relative of a scan lab participant. The neonate's parent signed a consent form. As soon as I placed the transducer on the spine, I noticed an abnormality. The abnormality went undetected by the students, who were new to spine sonography. While I continued to scan, my mind was racing 100 mph trying to formulate a plan. During a break, I called an attending radiologist to discuss the case, who recommended I counsel the parents to contact their pediatrician for follow-up diagnostic imaging.

Discussion: This case study supports the recommended use of a consent form for models and an incidental findings policy and procedures, with physician involvement when warranted. In this case study, the sonography program obtained the consent of the neonate's parent before performing the sonographic procedure in the scan lab setting. It is unknown whether the sonography program incidental findings policy and procedures specifically authorized the scan lab instructor to contact an attending radiologist for consultation. A pre-scan of the neonate by the scan lab instructor could have identified the abnormality prior to the scan lab and avoided potential issues resulting from the incidental finding.

Case Study #3

As a sonography student, I had a personal experience with an incidental finding. During my second month of school, my instructor was demonstrating to the class how to image the iliac arteries and veins. While they was teaching the scanning technique, I noticed they repeatedly scanned through my left lower quadrant. I thought they were looking at my ovary, so I disclosed my history of polycystic ovarian syndrome. I also commented that my mom had a dermoid cyst removed in the past. It was then that they pointed out a mass-like area that was concerning. My instructor did not tell me what they thought it might be but recommended that I follow up with my family doctor or Student Health. After seeing my doctor and having a diagnostic sonographic examination, they diagnosed me with a 9.0 cm left ovarian dermoid cyst.

Discussion: This case study demonstrates the need for volunteers to disclose any known pathologies prior to serving as a model. Other unique factors about this case were the student's disclosure of their medical history to their instructor and classmates in the scan lab. Reviewing the model's medical history with the model during a pre-scan could have helped to better manage the incidental finding in a private setting. Now that a physician has diagnosed the model with a dermoid cyst, the scan lab instructor could ask the model for their consent to reveal the diagnosis and offer the scan lab students an opportunity to scan the dermoid cyst.



Case Study #4

Unbeknownst to us, we recently had a student bring their under-age sister into the scan lab to act as a model. The consent form was completed and signed in the scan lab, but the student didn't consider that the sister was under-age or that the student was supposed to be supervised for any sonography procedures performed on a model. The faculty member had unlocked the scan lab door because the student indicated they would be practicing scans using a phantom. We disciplined the student for this after we discovered they had logged their sister's name as a model. We thought we had this tightly controlled. What a learning experience!

Discussion: This case study demonstrates the need to have consent forms for models and to ensure both students and staff understand and follow the sonography program's policies and procedures, including scan lab requirements or restrictions.

REFERENCES

1. Fox JC, Richardson AG, Lopez S, Soiley M, Lotfipour S. Implications and approach to incidentals findings in life ultrasound models. *West JEmerg Med.* 2011;12(4):472-474. doi:10.5811/westjem.2011.2.2054.

2. Pols J, Boendermaker P, Muntinghe H. Incidence of and sequels to medical problems discovered in medical students during study-related activities. *Med Educ.* 2003;37(10):889-894. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2923.2003.01628.x.

3. Boendermaker P, Pols J, Scherpbier AJ. Unexpected pathological findings in skills training and assessing skills. *Med Teach.* 1999;21(6):586-587. doi:10.1080/01421599979013.

4. Siegel-Richman Y, Kendall JL. Incidental findings in student ultrasound models: Implications for instructors. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2017;36(8):1739-1743. doi:10.7863/ultra.16.08014.

5. Phillips M, Vazquez A. Abnormal findings in "normal" research volunteers. *Control Clin Trials.* 1987;8(4):338-342. doi:10.1016/0197-2456(87)90154-1.

6. Boendermaker P, Pols J, Scherpbier AJ. Unexpected pathologic findings during skills training or assessment. *Acad Med.* 1998;73(11):1126. doi:10.1097/00001888-199811000-00005.

7. Blickendorf J, Adkins E, Boulger C, Bahner D. Trained simulated ultrasound patients. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2014;33(1):35-38. doi:10.7863/ultra.33.1.35.

8. Kirschen MP, Jaworska A, Illes J. Subjects' expectation in neuroimaging research. J Magn Reson Imaging. Published Online: January 13, 2006 doi:10.1002/jmri.20499.

9. Rienzo CE, Mark IT. Serendipitous diagnosis of a ganglioneuroma in a diagnostic medical sonography educational setting. *J Diagn Med Sonogr.* 2016;32(3):181-184. doi:10.1177/8756479316640527.



10. Commission on Accreditation of Allied Health Education Programs. Standards and guidelines for the accreditation of educational programs in diagnostic medical sonography. Joint Review Committee on Education in Diagnostic Medical Sonography. Available at: http://www.jrcdms.org/pdf/DMSStandards.pdf. Accessed August 28, 2018.

11. Mills P, Joseph AE, Adam EJ. Total abdominal and pelvic ultrasound: incidental findings and a comparison between outpatient and general practice referrals in 1000 cases. *BR J Radiol.* 1989;62:974-976. doi:10.1259/0007-1285-62-743-974.

12. Wearn AM, Rees CE, Bradley P, Vnuk AK. Understanding student concerns about peer physical examination using an activity theory framework. *Med Educ.* 2008;42(12):1218-1226. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2923.2008.03175.x.

13. American Institute of Ultrasound in Medicine. Statement on mammalian biological effects of heat. Available at: https://www.aium.org/officialStatements/17. Accessed December 10, 2018.

14. Plans for detecting and managing incidental findings associated with research imaging procedures. Johns Hopkins Medicine Available at: https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/institutional_review_board/guidelines_policies/guidelines/incidental_findings.html. Accessed August 28, 2018.

15. Barnett S. Live scanning at ultrasound scientific conferences and the need for prudent policy. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* 2003;29(8):1071-1076. doi:10.1016/s0301-5629(03)00898-6.



SONOGRAPHY EDUCATION: Sample Workflow for Handling Scan Lab Incidental Findings

